

## Answers to Problem Set 4: Dynamic Game Theory

March 3, 1997 © David K. Levine

### 1. Bayes Law

Let E be the evidence and let H be the event that the husband did it.

$$\text{pr}(H)=.8; \text{pr}(E|H)=.8; \text{pr}(E|\sim H)=.15$$

apply Bayes law

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}(H|E) &= \frac{\text{pr}(E|H)\text{pr}(H)}{\text{pr}(E)} = \frac{\text{pr}(E|H)\text{pr}(H)}{\text{pr}(E|H)\text{pr}(H) + \text{pr}(E|\sim H)\text{pr}(\sim H)} \\ &= \frac{.8 \times .8}{.8 \times .8 + .15 \times .20} = .96 \end{aligned}$$

so a 96% chance the husband did it. In the second case

$$\text{pr}(H|E) = \frac{.8 \times .8}{.8 \times .8 + .05 \times .20} = .98$$

### 2. Mixed Strategy Equilibrium

a) D and R are strictly dominant strategies, so this is the only Nash equilibrium.

b)

	L	R
U	3*,2*	0,0
D	0,0	2*,3*

Two pure equilibria as marked. To the symmetric mixed equilibrium let  $p$  be the probability L. Then for player 1 to be indifferent, player 2 must mix according to  $3p = 2(1-p)$  giving  $p=2/5$  chance of L and a  $3/5$  chance of R. For player 2 to be indifferent let  $q$  be the chance of D ; we find that  $q=2/5$  as well.

c)

	L	R
U	4*,2	3,5*
D	2,4*	4*,2

1. No pure equilibrium. To find the mixed equilibrium, again, let  $p$  be probability of L and  $q$  be the probability of D. Then  $4p + 3(1-p) = 2p + 4(1-p)$  and  $4q + 2(1-q) = 2q + 5(1-q)$  so  $p=1/3$  and  $q=3/5$